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The structures of 2-acetamido-4-tolyl-1,3-thiazole, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$, (I), and 2-amino-4-tolyl-1,3-thiazolium chloride dihydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (II), reveal that both molecules are essentially planar, with the respective dihedral angles between the benzene and thiazole rings being 2.9 (1) and 10.39 (7) ${ }^{\circ}$. Compound (I) associates via a single $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction to form a flat alternate-facing hydrogen-bonded chain [graph-set $C_{2}^{2}(4)$ ]. Compound (II) packs with the hydro-gen-bonding associations of the Cl atoms and the water molecules creating a convoluted hydrogen-bonded ribbon made up of five-membered donor-acceptor rings, involving three water O atoms (with associated H atoms) and two Cl atoms. The thiazolium rings form stacked columns, aligned in the same direction as the hydrogen-bonded ribbons, of alternatefacing molecules that are also involved in the hydrogenbonding network, linking to the Cl atoms and one of the water molecules. Subsequently, each Cl atom is the hydrogen-bond acceptor for five separate $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ associations.

## Comment

2-Amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazole has both pharmaceutical and industrial applications (Au-Alvarez et al., 1999), including corrosion inhibition (Form et al., 1974); the structures of 2-amino-4-phenylthiazole and its hydrobromide hydrate salt are reported, respectively, in these two references. We have recently reviewed the structures of 2-amino-4-phenylthiazole derivatives in terms of their hydrogen-bonding patterns, specifically highlighting any involvement of the thiazole S atom (Lynch et al., 2002). Since that paper, the structures of two more derivatives have been reported (Bernes et al., 2002; Karanik et al., 2003). Several of the analogues that we reported in 2002, such as 2-amino-4-tolyl-1,3-thiazole, either packed quite simply as hydrogen-bonded $R_{2}^{2}(8)$ graph-set (Etter, 1990) dimers through $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions or displayed packing networks containing these dimers. In the cases where molecules did not associate via $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$
hydrogen bonds into dimers, and no other anions or water molecules were present, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ interactions were observed. The molecular arrangements in both the Bernes et al. and Karanik et al. structures also included $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ dimers. As a continuation of our 2002 study into the packing modes of 2-amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazole derivatives, we have further determined the structures of 2-acetamido-4-tolyl-1,3thiazole, (I), and 2-amino-4-tolyl-1,3-thiazolium chloride dihydrate, (II), and report them here. As seen in two structures discussed in our 2002 paper, the packing modes of 2-amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazoles with additional anions and/or water molecules differ from the structures of the thiazole molecules by themselves.

(I)

(II)

The structure of (I) consists of an essentially flat molecule (Fig. 1) which associates via a single $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction, with alternate-facing molecules, to form a $C_{2}^{2}(4)$ graph-set hydrogen-bonded chain (Fig. 2). The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ chain interaction (Table 1) is one of two typical motifs displayed by amide linkages, with the other being an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogenbonded $R_{2}^{2}(8)$ graph-set dimer (Desiraju, 1995). In other 2-acetamido-1,3-thiazole derivatives, of which there are four in the April 2004 version of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen, 2002), none forms a hydrogen-bonded amide chain similar to (I). Instead, one, namely $N$-(5-nitro-2thiazolyl)acetamide (Peeters et al., 1985), forms an $R_{2}^{2}(8) \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen-bonded dimer, while the other three structures contain additional lattice elements, such as anions, cations and/or water molecules. Furthermore, of 12 2-acet-amido-1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives found in the CSD, only one, namely 2 -acetamido-4-benzoyl-5,5-dimethyl-4,5-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazoline (Kuban \& Schulz, 1987), packs with a hydrogen-bonded amide chain. Interestingly, this molecule


Figure 1
The molecular configuration and atom-numbering scheme for (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.
contains a bulky substituent in the 4-position, similar to (I), whereas those molecules without such substituents predominantly pack as $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ dimers. In (I), the dihedral angle between the thiazole and phenyl rings is $2.9(1)^{\circ}$. The comparative dihedral angle in 2-amino-4-tolylthiazole is $12.80(8)^{\circ}$.

The structure of (II) consists of an essentially flat 2-amino-4-tolyl-1,3-thiazolium molecule, a $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$anion and two water molecules (Fig. 3), associated in a hydrogen-bonded network. The CSD reveals that there are seven previously reported 2-amino-1,3-thiazolium halide (either $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$) structures, with three of these being monohydrates; thus, (II) is unique in being the first reported dihydrate. In all of the reported structures, the halide anion associates with either N3 or N21, or both, although across these examples, all of the hydrate structures have $\mathrm{N} 3^{+}-\mathrm{H}$ associating to a water O atom. Alternatively, in (II), the same Cl atom (details of hydrogenbonding interactions are given in Table 2) associates with both N3 and N21, similar to the three non-hydrate structures, with the second H atom on N 21 forming a hydrogen bond to a symmetry-equivalent water O atom [ $\mathrm{O} 1 W^{\mathrm{ii}}$, see Fig. 4; symmetry code: (ii) $\left.1-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}-z\right]$. The Cl atom and the two water molecules associate via hydrogen-bonding interactions to construct a convoluted hydrogen-bonded ribbon network which runs in the $a$ cell direction. This ribbon network is made up of five-membered donor-acceptor rings, involving three water O atoms (with associated H atoms) and two Cl atoms [Cl1, O1 W, O2W ${ }^{\text {iii }}, \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{iii}}$ and $\mathrm{O} 2 W$ in Fig. $4 ; R_{3}^{5}(10)$ graph set; symmetry code: (iii) $\left.x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-y,-z\right]$, fused along the $\mathrm{O} 2 W-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bond. In addition to the hydrogen-


Figure 2
A packing diagram for (I), viewed down the $a$ axis. [Symmetry code: (i) $x+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$.

Figure 3


The molecular configuration and atom-numbering scheme for (II). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.


Figure 4
A packing diagram for (II). [Symmetry codes: (ii) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}-z$; (iii) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-y,-z$.]
bonding associations to Cl 1 and $\mathrm{O} 1 W$, the thiazolium molecules stack in a column co-directional with the anion-water ribbon. The thiazolium columns comprise alternate-facing molecules, with the perpendicular distances between thiazolephenyl and phenyl-thiazole ring centroids being 3.60 (1) and 3.67 (1) A , respectively, and with the closest contact distance being 3.35 (1) A from C4 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 42\left(x+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y,-z\right)$.

For (II), the dihedral angle between the thiazole and phenyl rings is $10.39(7)^{\circ}$, which compares with a value of $19(1)^{\circ}$ for 2-amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazolium bromide hydrate (Form et al., 1974) and $7.3(2)^{\circ}$ for 2-amino-4-naphthyl-1,3-thiazolium bromide (Lynch et al., 2002). Also noteworthy in (II) is the indication of a delocalized double bond across the N3-C2N 21 site, with distances of $1.322(8)(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 21)$ and 1.342 (8) $\AA(\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 3)$, compared with distances of 1.351 (2) and 1.309 (2) $\AA$, respectively, for 2-amino-4-tolylthiazole. Similar distances of 1.30 (2) and 1.33 (2) $\AA$, respectively, for 2-amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazolium bromide hydrate, and 1.347 (5) and 1.298 (5) $\AA$ for 2 -amino-4-phenyl-1,3-thiazole itself [the dihedral angle in this molecule is $6.2(3)^{\circ}$ ] are also noted. The hydrogen-bonding coordination surrounding the Cl atom is interesting, because without the $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ association, the remaining four-coordinate motif is pseudotetrahedral, with the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ angles from H 3 being 94 (3), 118 (3) and $122(3)^{\circ}$, and the three remaining angles being 105 (3), 105 (3) and 110 (3). The addition of the N21$\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ association thus creates a five-coordinate pseudo-face-capped tetrahedral arrangement, not observed amongst the seven previously reported 2-amino-1,3-thiazolium halide structures.

## Experimental

Both title compounds were obtained from Key Organics Ltd and crystals were grown from ethanol solutions. Data for (I) were collected at Station 9.8 of the Daresbury SRS (Cernik et al., 1997; Clegg, 2000). Data for (II) were collected at the EPSRC Crystallographic Service, Southampton.

## Compound (I)

Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS} \\
& M_{r}=232.30 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / n \\
& a=3.9541(5) \AA \\
& b=37.484(5) \AA \\
& c=7.7173(9) \AA \\
& \beta=99.338(2)^{\circ} \\
& V=1128.7(2) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(D_{x}=1.367 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\)
Synchrotron radiation, \(\lambda=0.6894 \AA\)
Cell parameters from 1753
    reflections
\(\theta=2.7-28.2^{\circ}\)
\(\mu=0.27 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\)
\(T=120\) (2) K
Plate, colourless
\(0.050 \times 0.030 \times 0.002 \mathrm{~mm}\)
```


## Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD area-
detector diffractometer $\omega$ rotation scans with narrow frames
6723 measured reflections
2407 independent reflections
1770 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.049$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.126$
$S=1.08$
2407 reflections
151 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of
$\quad$ independent and constrained refinement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.040 \\
& \theta_{\max }=26.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-5 \rightarrow 2 \\
& k=-44 \rightarrow 47 \\
& l=-9 \rightarrow 9
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0604 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+0.4975 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.32 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (I).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N21-H21 $\cdots$ O22 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.89(3)$ | $1.95(3)$ | $2.818(3)$ | $166(3)$ |
| Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$. |  |  |  |  |

## Compound (II)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=262.75$
Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=6.8815$ (6) $\AA$
$b=9.3072(14) \AA$
$c=19.499$ (3) $\AA$
$V=1248.9(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.397 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Data collection
Nonius KappaCCD area-detector
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
9123 measured reflections
1441 independent reflections
1048 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.156 \\
& \theta_{\max }=26.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-8 \rightarrow 8 \\
& k=-9 \rightarrow 11 \\
& l=-23 \rightarrow 24
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.063$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.152$
$S=1.06$
1441 reflections
159 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

All H atoms, except for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ atom in (I) and the water H atoms in (II), were included in the refinements at calculated positions in the riding-model approximation, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.88 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of 0.95 (aromatic H atoms) and $0.98 \AA$ (methyl H atoms), and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.25 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N})$. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ atom in (I) was located in Fourier syntheses and both its positional and displacement parameters were refined. The water H atoms in (II) were also located in Fourier syntheses, but their positional and displacement parameters were refined with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance restraints of $0.88 \AA$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ restraints of $1.4 \AA . U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values for the water H atoms were

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ) for (II).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N3-H3 . . Cl1 | 0.88 | 2.32 | 3.126 (5) | 151 |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ | 0.88 | 2.58 | 3.337 (6) | 144 |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 22 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 W^{\text {ji }}$ | 0.88 | 1.86 | 2.726 (7) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 11 W \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ | 0.88 (6) | 2.26 (6) | 3.136 (5) | 178 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 12 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 W^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.88 (5) | 1.83 (3) | 2.700 (7) | 169 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 W-\mathrm{H} 22 W \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1$ | 0.88 (2) | 2.35 (2) | 3.214 (5) | 167 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 W-\mathrm{H} 21 W \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.88 (5) | 2.29 (3) | 3.151 (5) | 166 (6) |

set equal to $1.25 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O})$. Refinement of the absolute structure parameter (Flack, 1983) gave ambiguous results, indicating that the absolute structure of (II) could not be accurately determined from the diffraction data, even with the presence of a Cl atom; thus Friedel opposites were merged. For (II), the number of Friedel pairs is 837. The high $R_{\text {int }}$ value was the result of weak high-angle data.

For compound (I), data collection, cell refinement and data reduction: SMART (Bruker, 2001). For compound (II), data collection and cell refinement: DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) and COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); data reduction: DENZO, SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) and COLLECT. For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003).
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FA1090). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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